

I. Editorial

In this issue I publish two papers adapted from papers presented at the recent Canon Greenwell Conference (a detailed report of the presentations made in Durham earlier this year has also been included), as well as an innovative discussion of links between the practice of archaeology and science fiction in Victorian England.

These papers and the wealth of information about past and future conferences, doctoral dissertations, recent publications and the like, all testify to the growing diversity and strength of our field. Of course things can always improve, but it's worth pausing every now and then to take stock of the field and to take some pleasure in our current state. Judging by the conferences in prospect and news of major publications in the wings from Berghahn Books and Cambridge University Press (among other publishing houses), 2006 looks very promising.

Of course the needs of the *Bulletin* are never satisfied, and we are constantly in search of copy. I am pleased to announce that the essay-length contributions to this issue have been refereed according to the standards required by the Department of Education, Science and Technology (DEST) of the Australian federal government. Details of those standards can be found on the inside back cover. It is my intention to continue this policy in all future issues of the *BHA*, and I thank you in advance for your willingness to act as referees. All other contributions (notes, reviews etc.) will remain unrefereed, and my existing policy of employing only the lightest of editorial touches to your copy will, where it is consistent with the referee process, remain. I shall publish a list of referees at regular intervals.

I thank the contributors to 15(2) and especially thank Wei Ming of the Archaeology Program at La Trobe University for his vital assistance with production.

Tim Murray